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The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$, was synthesized from $N$-benzylisatin. The compound crystallizes as stacks of molecules running down the $c$ axis. Molecules within each of these stacks interact with each other through $\pi-\pi$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions, and interact with neighbouring stacks through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions.

## Comment

Oxindoles occur commonly as subunits of biologically active compounds. For example, compound (I) (see scheme) has been found to be a potential inhibitor of the kinase insert

(I)


(IV)

(V)
domain-containing receptor (KDR), alternatively referred to as VEGFR-2, a receptor for vascular endothelial growth factors (Bouérat et al., 2005). In essence, this compound is believed to function as a key regulator of angiogenesis.

As part of our research programme, we have been interested in the synthesis of substituted heterocycles, such as carbazoles (de Koning et al., 2003; Pelly et al., 2005; Pathak et al., 2006) and fused indole systems (de Koning et al., 2004). During the course of our work on the synthesis of potential kinase inhibitors (Fabbro et al., 2002; Geyer et al., 2005; Noble et al., 2005), we had reason to synthesize the simple oxindole


## Figure 1

A view of the title molecule, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown with an arbitrary radius.


Figure 2
Weak interactions between molecules related by the $c$-glide plane producing a stack of molecules running down the $c$ axis. Indicated with a $\operatorname{star}(\star)$ on the diagram are the $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the N/C1-C3/C8 and $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ rings. Indicated with a hash (\#) are the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 B$ group and the $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15$ ring. Molecules (i), (ii) and (iii) are at the symmetry positions $(x, y, z),\left(x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z\right)$ and $(x, y$, $1+z$ ), respectively.
derivative (II) from $N$-benzylisatin, (III), where the carbonyl group at the 3-position of isatin is replaced by two Cl atoms. The structure of the product, (II), was confirmed by singlecrystal X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1).

The bond lengths and angles for (II) were found to be typical for compounds of this type. Bond lengths and angles for the nitrogen-containing ring of the indol-2-one system are given in Table 1. A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Version 5.27; Allen, 2002) for indol-2-one compounds with dichloro substitution on C2 (or IUPAC position 3; Fig. 1) yielded only two structures, viz. 3,3-dichloro$1 H$-indol-2(3H)-one, (IV) (CSD refcode KUNMUB; Zukerman-Schpector et al., 1993), and 1,3,3,5-tetrachloro-1,3-dihydroindol-2-one, (V) (QASXEO; Meketa et al., 2005). Comparison of the bond angles around the N -containing fivemembered ring indicates that the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ bond length, as well as the $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ and $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ angles, are most affected by the atom type bonded to the N atom (Table 3). Comparing geometric parameters around the rest of the five-


Figure 3
The crystal packing in (II), showing the stacks of molecules running down the $c$ axis.


Figure 4
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions between molecules related by the screw symmetry running down the $b$ axis. These interactions act between the stacks shown in Fig. 2. Molecules (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are at the symmetry positions ( $x$, $y, z),\left(2-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z\right),(x, 1+y, z)$ and $\left(2-x, \frac{3}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z\right)$, respectively.
membered ring for all three structures leads to differences of less than $0.01 \AA$ and $1^{\circ}$ for the bond lengths and angles, respectively.

The title compound is capable of rotation around the C9N 1 and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ bonds (Fig. 1). The conformation adopted by the molecule is one in which the indol-2-one system is rotated such that it is almost perpendicular [82.03 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] to the phenyl ring (Fig. 2). This conformation allows for simultaneous C $\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ and $\pi-\pi$ interactions between molecules related by the $c$-glide plane. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interaction occurs between the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{~B}$ group and the $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15$ ring of a neighbouring molecule (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

The $\pi-\pi$ interaction occurs between the N1/C1-C3/C8 ring (the five-membered ring of the indol-2-one system) and the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ ring (the six-membered ring of the indol-2-one system) of a neighbouring molecule (Fig. 2). In this interaction, the two rings are slipped by $30.2^{\circ}$ relative to their ring perpendiculars (the average interplanar distance is $3.484 \AA$ ), the ring-centroid-to-ring-centroid distance being 4.0329 (9) A. Admittedly, the five-membered ring of the indol-2-one system is only partly aromatic, but a significant part of the ring (O1, C 1 and N 1 ) is involved in conjugation with the aromatic sixmembered ring, as shown by the bond lengths between these atoms (Table 1). The $\pi-\pi$ interaction is therefore really between these atoms and those of the neighbouring ring, and if this were taken into account the centroid-to-centroid distance would be even shorter. A consequence of the $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ and $\pi-\pi$ interactions is the creation of stacks of molecules running down the $c$ axis (Fig. 3).

Finally, acting between the stacks of molecules just described are $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interactions (Table 2 and Fig. 4).

## Experimental

The dione (III) ( $6.40 \mathrm{~g}, 27.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(70 \mathrm{ml})$ in a round-bottomed flask and cooled to $273 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{PCl}_{5}(12.80 \mathrm{~g}$, $62.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3$ equivalents) was added and the solution was warmed to 298 K for 24 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo to obtain a yellow-brown residue, which was further purified by column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford (II) as a light-yellow oil. On addition of EtOH, (II) precipitated as a colourless solid [yield $6.37 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$; m.p. 398-399 K (literature m.p. 399-400 K; Palazzo \& Rosnati, 1953)]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.94$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, s$, $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.22-7.39(6 \mathrm{H}, m, 6 \times \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 44.5\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 110.1\left(\mathrm{ArCCl}_{2}\right), 124.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.3$ (C), $131.8(\mathrm{CH}), 134.4(\mathrm{C}), 139.8(\mathrm{C})$ and $169.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ (one CH not observed in spectrum).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$
$M_{r}=292.15$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=10.4847$ (13) $\AA$
$b=14.4641$ (18) $\AA$
$c=9.2203$ (11) $\AA$
$\beta=107.244(2)^{\circ}$
$V=1335.4(3) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=4 \\
& D_{x}=1.453 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \mu=0.48 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=173(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Irregular, colourless } \\
& 0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.26 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Data collection
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
8835 measured reflections
3213 independent reflections 2603 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.028$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.0^{\circ}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.032$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.088$
$S=1.05$
3213 reflections
172 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0453 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+0.2606 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.33 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.2107(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.4945(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.3637(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.3966(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.5555(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.4109(17)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $106.23(11)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N}$ | $110.30(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $103.98(11)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $111.85(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $107.49(11)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).
Cg is the centroid of the $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15$ ring.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.95 | 2.64 | $3.325(2)$ | 129 |
| $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 B \cdots \mathrm{Cg}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.99 | 2.93 | $3.660(2)$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.95 | 2.98 | $3.4461(15)$ | 112 |
| $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 0.95 | 3.01 | $3.4815(18)$ | 112 |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2} ;$ (ii) | $x,-y-\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{3}{2} ;$ (iii) | $-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2}$, |  |  |
| $-z+\frac{1}{2} ;$ (iv) $-x+2, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2}$. |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
Comparison of selected bond lengths and angles $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for compound (II), KUNMUB and QASXEO.

| Structure/CSD refcode | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (II) | $1.364(2)$ | $111.8(1)$ | $106.2(1)$ |
| KUNMUB $^{a}$ | $1.339(5)$ | $112.8(3)$ | $106.1(3)$ |
| QASXEO $^{b}$ | 1.37 | 114 | 105 |

Notes: (a) Zukerman-Schpector et al. (1993); (b) Meketa et al. (2005).

H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of 0.95
(aromatic CH ) or $0.99 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and isotropic displacement parameters equal to 1.2 times $U_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent atom.

Data collection: SMART-NT (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and SCHAKAL99 (Keller, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2003) and SHELXTL.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN3029). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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